Universal distribution of component frequencies in biological and technological systems
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Bacterial genomes and large-scale computer software projects both consist of a large number of components (genes or software packages) connected via a network of mutual dependencies. Components can be easily added or removed from individual systems, and their use frequencies vary over many orders of magnitude. We study this frequency distribution in genomes of ~500 bacterial species and in over 2 million Linux computers and find that in both cases it is described by the same scale-free power-law distribution with an additional peak near the tail of the distribution corresponding to nearly universal components. We argue that the existence of a power law distribution of frequencies of components is a general property of any modular system with a multilayered dependency network. We demonstrate that the frequency of a component is positively correlated with its dependency degree given by the total number of upstream components whose operation directly or indirectly depends on the selected component. The observed frequency/dependency degree distributions are reproduced in a simple mathematically tractable model introduced and analyzed in this study.

Empirical Distribution of Component Frequencies. The eggNOG database (15) provided us with information about the presence or absence of genes from 45,000 orthologous gene families in genomes of more than 500 bacterial species. The Ubuntu popularity contest project quantified the frequencies of installation of about 200,000 Linux packages on more than 2 million individual computers (2) (see Materials and Methods for details). We found the distributions of components’ frequencies f in both biological and technological systems to share multiple common features, including a power-law scaling regime $P(f) \sim f^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma \approx 1.5$ (see Fig. 1B for genomes and Fig. 1E for Linux) terminating with a peak at the maximal frequency $f \sim 1$ (Fig. 1A and D). This peak, formed by components present in the vast majority of systems, also manifests itself as a broad plateau at $f \sim 1$ in Zipf’s rank-frequency plots (Fig. 1 C and F). A broad distribution of gene frequencies has been previously reported in biological literature (1, 16–19); however, this study reports and explains its scaling exponent.

The explanations of the observed broad distribution of use frequency (or popularity) of individual components generally fall into two broad categories. The first category invokes random multiplicative processes (7, 8) recently exemplified by the preferential attachment model of growing networks (9, 10). These models, recently invoked to explain frequency distribution of genes in pan-genomes of bacterial species (11), largely ignore functional differences between components so that the ultimate popularity of a component is determined mostly by its age as well as random events in early phases of growth of the system. The second category of models invokes heterogeneity of functional roles of individual components (12, 13). It is reasonable to assume that the frequency of a component is mainly determined by the breadth of its functional role in the system. This explanation is especially applicable to biological and technological systems subject to natural and artificial selection, respectively. Indeed, the frequency of genes whose “popularity” is not matched by their functional importance will be quickly corrected by the evolution. In agreement with this explanation, genes encoding certain core enzymes of central metabolism or ribosomal components are present in genomes of virtually all species (figure 4 in ref. 14). However, genes encoding peripheral enzymes tend to have much lower frequency of appearance in genomes (14). The same rule applies to multicomponent software projects such as Linux, where the most frequently installed components (e.g., Python and gzip) are also among the most functionally important and reusable software libraries. Most other packages either directly or indirectly depend on these low-level components for their operation. As a result, these packages end up being installed on the vast majority (if not all) of individual Linux computers. In what follows, we present empirical results supporting this second, functional explanation of the power-law distribution of frequency of components in complex biological and technological systems.

Results

Empirical Distribution of Component Frequencies. The eggNOG database (15) provided us with information about the presence or absence of genes from 45,000 orthologous gene families in genomes of more than 500 bacterial species. The Ubuntu popularity contest project quantified the frequencies of installation of about 200,000 Linux packages on more than 2 million individual computers (2) (see Materials and Methods for details). We found the distributions of components’ frequencies f in both biological and technological systems to share multiple common features, including a power-law scaling regime $P(f) \sim f^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma \approx 1.5$ (see Fig. 1B for genomes and Fig. 1E for Linux) terminating with a peak at the maximal frequency $f \sim 1$ (Fig. 1A and D). This peak, formed by components present in the vast majority of systems, also manifests itself as a broad plateau at $f \sim 1$ in Zipf’s rank-frequency plots (Fig. 1 C and F). A broad distribution of gene frequencies has been previously reported in biological literature (1, 16–19); however, this study reports and explains its scaling exponent.

U-shaped $P(f)$ distributions are sometimes plotted on semilogarithmic scale (1) with piecewise linear fit used to define three types of components dubbed “core” ($f > 0.95$), “character” ($0.95 \geq f > 0.1$), and “accessory” ($f \leq 0.1$) genes (16). In Fig. 1D we validate these previous observations and demonstrate them for Linux systems (Fig. 1D). We also confirm the existence and explain the origins of a sharp cross-over separating the core components with $f \sim 1$ from the rest of the distribution. We mathematically predict the number of core components to be $\sim \sqrt{N}$, where $N$ is the total number of components with nonzero frequencies that are functionally connected to the core. The empirical data are in approximate agreement with this prediction. The separation between character and accessory genes is less well defined. Indeed, when plotted in log-log coordinates, the power-law scaling observed for $f \ll 1$ directly crosses over into the core region at $f \sim 1$ without an obvious intermediate region corresponding to character genes. In what follows, we argue that the power law is expected on purely theoretical grounds. Thus, “fractal organization of the gene Universe” (20, pp. 71–75)
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demonstrated in ref. 1).

Example, Fig. S1 visualizes direct and indirect dependencies of edges of this directed network connect a given package to packages understood in the dependency network of Linux packages (21).

Continues until all downstream packages required for the operation of the Firefox browser. This cascade of sequential installations continues until all downstream packages required for the operation do so at any step.

Though a similar interdependence of individual genes on each other certainly exists in biological systems, it is more difficult to quantify. Using the algorithm described in ref. 13, we calculated the dependency network for a subset of all gene families corresponding to metabolic enzymes (see Materials and Methods for details). Briefly, our algorithm derives upstream/downstream relations of enzymes reflecting their relative positions in metabolic pathways. The functioning of an anabolic enzyme requires the presence of enzymes in the smallest pathway necessary to synthesize all of its substrates from the minimal set of core metabolites (see Materials and Methods for our algorithm searching for such minimal pathway). The total dependency degree \( k_{dep}(i) \) of the enzyme \( i \) is given by the total number of enzymes in this minimal pathway located downstream from it for anabolic enzymes (or upstream from it for catabolic enzymes). However, the direct dependency degree, \( k_{dep}(i) \) counts enzymes located one step below (or above) it in this hierarchy. The direct dependency degree of an enzyme is closely related to its degree in the adjacency matrix of the metabolic network previously studied in refs. 10 and 22. Fig. S2 visualizes dependencies among enzymes in a particular metabolic pathway.

The scatter plot of the frequency of a component vs. its total (direct + indirect) dependency degree \( K_{dep} \) clearly shows positive correlation between the two variables. The Spearman rank correlation 0.3 (metabolic enzymes) and 0.47 (Linux packages) is highly statistically significant \( (P < 10^{-10}) \). A somewhat weaker correlation for metabolic enzymes can be attributed to an important difference between dependency networks in biological and computer systems. The dependencies of software packages in Linux are explicitly specified by their designers and thus totally unambiguous. The biological systems are designed in a more robust fashion and allow some flexibility in dependencies among their components. For example, in metabolic networks there is often more than one enzyme synthesizing a metabolite used by manifests itself both in the scale-free distribution of component frequencies (as reported in this study) as well as in qualitatively similar shapes of \( P(f) \) at different evolutionary timescales (as demonstrated in ref. 1).

Component’s Frequency Is Positively Correlated with Its Dependency Degree. It is reasonable to expect the frequency of a component (a gene or a software package) to be influenced by its importance or the breadth of its functional role in the system. For a given component, we quantify the latter by the number of other components whose operation critically depends on it either directly (referred to as the direct dependency degree \( k_{dep} \)) or directly + indirectly (referred to as the total dependency degree \( K_{dep} \)). The difference between \( k_{dep} \) and \( K_{dep} \) can be easily understood in the dependency network of Linux packages (21).

Edges of this directed network connect a given package to packages it requests to install during its own installation process. Some of these packages have direct dependencies of their own. For example, Fig. S1 visualizes direct and indirect dependencies of the Firefox browser. This cascade of sequential installations continues until all downstream packages required for the operation of the chosen package are installed. So, though \( k_{dep}(i) \) counts the packages that require installation of the package \( i \) at the first step of this multistep process, \( K_{dep}(i) \) counts the packages that do so at any step.
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Fig. 1. The histogram \( P(f) \) of the frequency \( f \) of bacterial genes present in genomes (A) or Linux software packages installed on computers (D) in semilogarithmic coordinates. Dashed lines show a piecewise linear fit used to define core \( (f > 0.95) \), character \( (0.95 > f > 0.1) \), and accessory \( (f < 0.1) \) components (1, 16). When plotted in log-log coordinates (B for genes and E for Linux), the histogram is consistent with the power law \( P(f) \sim f^{-\gamma} \) with the exponents \( \gamma_{genes} = 1.62 \) and \( \gamma_{Linux} = 1.42 \) (solid lines in B and E). In rank-frequency Zipf’s plots (C for genes and F for Linux), core components manifest themselves as plateaus at \( f \sim 1 \). Straight lines in C and F are the best power-law fits used to determine \( \gamma_{genes}, \gamma_{Linux} \), and the arrows point to \( \sqrt{N} \)--the mathematically predicted number of core components.
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Fig. 2. Components’ frequencies \( f \) (y axis) are positively correlated with their total (direct + indirect) dependency degrees \( K_{dep} \) (x axis) for both metabolic enzymes (A) (Spearman’s \( r_s = 0.30 \)) and Linux packages (B) (Spearman’s \( r_s = 0.47 \)). The black lines and symbols show the geometric averages of \( f \) in each logarithmic bin of \( K_{dep} \).
Dependency Degrees Follow Power-Law Distributions. The distributions of direct \( k_{dep} \) and total \( K_{dep} \) dependency degrees for the metabolic enzymes as well as Linux packages are shown in Fig. 3; both have a power-law scaling region with exponents \(-2.0\) (solid line in A) and \(-1.5\) (dashed line shown in Fig. 3B), correspondingly. In addition to the power-law region, Zipf’s rank degree plots of \( K_{dep} \) (Fig. 4A and B), but not of \( k_{dep} \), have plateaus formed by the core components with the largest \( K_{dep} \) (compare with frequency plateaus Fig. 1C and E). Direct dependency degrees in a variety of large software projects have been previously reported to have scale-free distribution with exponents around \(-2\) (see ref. 23 for Linux as well as in-degree exponents in Table 1 of ref. 24).

Discussion

One of the intriguing results presented above is a remarkable similarity of distributions of frequencies (Fig. 1) as well as topological properties of dependency networks in biological (Fig. 3, red circles) and technological (Fig. 3, blue diamonds) systems. It is rather surprising to see near-perfect overlap of distributions in these two systems of very different origins: one is optimized by nature over billions of years of evolution, whereas the other is designed by a distributed population of human software engineers over the past several decades. In fact, we argue below that the functional form of \( P(K_{dep}) \) and \( P(f) \) observed in this study is a universal property of any multicomponent and multilayered complex system. Such systems grow by gradually acquiring new components whose operation extends the functions performed by previously acquired components. Dependency networks connecting components to each other in such systems tend to be multilayered as a direct consequence of the long history of growth and evolution (25). Metabolic and software dependency networks used in this study with 34 and \( >40 \) layers, respectively, are indeed multilayered. A slightly different version of the universal metabolic network has been estimated (25) to have up to 60 layers of enzymes gradually acquired over billions of years of biological evolution (see figures 6 and 7 in ref. 25).

One mathematically tractable example of a multilayered dependency network is provided by a critical random branching tree (26)—namely, a tree with the branching ratio \( b \) close to 1. Here the branching ratio \( b \leq \langle k_{dep} \rangle \) counts nodes that directly depend on a given node and are located one layer above it. Indeed, in a branching tree with \( b \) significantly larger or smaller than 1, either the number of layers is logarithmically small \( (b \gg 1) \) or the branches terminate prematurely \( (b \ll 1) \), rendering a multilayered network impossible.

For a critical branching tree, one can show that \( P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-\gamma} \) with \( \gamma = 1.5 \). Indeed, the part of the tree located upstream of a given node itself constitutes an instance of a critical branching process that is independent from the rest of the tree; therefore, its size is distributed with the Galton–Watson exponent \( \gamma = 1.5 \) (see ref. 26 for the mathematical derivation). Because no subtree can be larger than the parent tree, in a tree of size \( N \), one expects to find \( N \cdot P(K_{dep} \geq N) = N \cdot N^{-1+\gamma} = \sqrt{N} \) subtrees with sizes about \( N \). Therefore, about \( \sqrt{N} \) nodes located at the lowest layers of the dependency network will have the largest possible dependency degree \( K_{dep} \sim N \) (see Materials and Methods for more details). These nodes constitute the plateau in Zipf’s plots (Figs. 1C and E and 4A and B) and the large-X peak in the U-shaped distribution of dependency degrees or frequencies of system’s components (Fig. 1A and D).

Though the distribution of dependency degrees in a critical branching tree is in excellent agreement with the empirically observed data, there is a conceptual difference between real-life dependency networks and trees. In a tree, each component directly depends on one, and only one, downstream component. However, in real-life networks this number, \( D_i \), is certainly larger than one; it varies from component to component, but averages \( \sim 2 \) for both metabolic networks and Linux packages. To describe real-life dependency networks with \( D_i > 1 \), we introduced and studied the following simple model. In our model, dependency networks start to grow from a few seed components. At each evolutionary time-step, one adds a new component depending on \( D_i \) randomly selected existing components. For simplicity we assume \( D_i \) to have a Poisson distribution with average \( \langle D_i \rangle = D \). However, as shown in SI Materials and Methods, our results depend only on the average value of \( D_i \). We mathematically derive (see SI Materials and Methods for step-by-step calculations) that the total dependency degree \( K_{dep} \) in a dependency network of size \( N \) generated by this model has a power-law tail \( P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-\gamma+1/D} \) as well as a plateau in the Zipf’s plot composed of \( X(\gamma-1)/D \).
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one or three and more substrates. Hence in this case there is a
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do not change the overall (direct + indirect) network of package dependencies. Because our model does not contain feed-forward loops beyond those created by pure chance, we pruned them from the Linux direct dependency network as well. After removing all direct links short-circuiting any chain of direct links in the Linux dependency network, we were left with a direct de-
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An important caveat in applying the N_c = \sqrt[N_c]{N} relationship is that N_c counts only those components that are directly or indirectly

connected to the core by the functional dependency network; for biological systems, this allows us to reconcile the apparent para-

adox. Indeed, the pan-genome of all bacterial species is believed to be open (16). That is to say, N_c continues to increase without any

hint at saturation as we sequence genomes of new bacterial species or even new strains of the same species (figure 1 in ref. 27). At the

same time, the core bacterial genome remains relatively stable.
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(prophages or transposable elements) or extremely niche-specific
gene families—both are likely to be disconnected from the core

and hence will not contribute to growth of N_c. Assuming N_c \leq 500, one gets the upper bound on the number of gene families con-
nected to the core at \sim 250,000.
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syncratic differences in popularity p_i of higher-level components will be translated into differences in installation frequencies of lower-level components required for their operation. By adjust-
ing the values of p_i—the initial popularity of components—we

were able to increase the correlation coefficient between f_i and

\sum_{j=1}^{K_{dep}(i)} p_i \equiv K_{dep}(i) to 0.8 up from -0.5.
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represented by transcriptional regulatory network in Escherichia coli and the call graph between subroutines within the Linux
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\begin{align*}
K_{dep} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{K_{dep}(i)}}{N_c} \sim K_{dep}(i) \\
\sum_{j=1}^{K_{dep}(i)} p_i \equiv K_{dep}(i)
\end{align*}
different versions of biological and technological complex systems will have to await future studies.

Materials and Methods

Our methods are briefly summarized here, and a more detailed description is provided in SI Materials and Methods.

Empirical Data for Frequencies of Use of Bacterial Genes. The eggNOG database v3.0 (15) contains the mapping of orthologous gene families to 630 species with fully sequenced genomes. We included in our analysis 529 bacterial genomes and their gene families assigned based on the clusters of orthologous genes and universal nonsupervised orthologous groups, which together cover 44,283 prokaryotic orthologous gene families. The resulting table showing the presence or absence of individual gene families in genomes was then processed to obtain the gene frequency f, defined as the fraction of 529 genomes the family is represented by at least one gene.

Empirical Data for Frequencies and Mutual Dependencies of Linux Packages. The package dependency network of Linux distribution Ubuntu 11.04 Natty was obtained by first getting a complete list of packages from http://packages.ubuntu.com, and then running the command apt-redepend to find all of the direct and indirect requirements for each package. The resulting network contains 33,473 packages, 157,667 direct, and 2,439,011 total (direct + indirect) dependencies. The installation frequency data for 192,392 packages on 2,047,796 computers was downloaded from the package popularity contest project (http://popcon.ubuntu.com). In our analysis we used statistics for the whole archive sorted by the field institution.

Construction of the Dependency Matrices for the Metabolic Network. We used the union of all reactions in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database (29) to construct upstream/downstream relations between enzymes using the following algorithm related to the scope expansion algorithm of ref. 25. For every enzyme, the minimal metabolic pathway connecting the product(s) of this enzyme to the set of five core metabolites was constructed as described in ref. 13. The direct dependency links were then drawn between the selected enzyme and enzymes in the top layer of this pathway, and direct + indirect links connect it to all enzymes in the minimal pathway. The resulting dependency network contains 1,832 reactions/enzymes connected to each other by 3,118 direct and 49,168 direct + indirect dependencies.

Power-Law Fits to the Data. Power-law fits to distributions were performed using Matlab package pflfit.m developed by Aaron Clauset and collaborators, and downloaded from http://tuvalu.santafe.edu/~aaronc/powerlaws.
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SI Materials and Methods

Obtaining the Dependency Network and Occurrence Frequency Data for Linux Packages. The package dependency network of distribution Ubuntu 11.04 Natty was obtained by first getting a complete list of packages from http://packages.ubuntu.com/, and then running the command apt-dependences to find all of the direct and indirect requirements for each package. The resulting network contains 33,473 packages, and 57,667 direct and 2,439,011 total (direct + indirect) dependency relations.

The occurrence frequency data for 192,392 packages on 2,047,796 computers was downloaded from the package popularity contest (popcon) project (http://popcon.ubuntu.com/by_inst) (1). Participants of this project installed on their Linux computers tracking software that automatically reports the installation and subsequent use of different packages to the popcon server. We used the first column reporting the number of computers where this package was installed. A total of 189,711 packages were installed on at least one computer. Other columns not used in this study report the number of computers where this package was or was not used in the past month and the number of computers where it was recently updated.

The popcon project obtained the package data from Ubuntu Linux of a wide range of versions and CPU architectures, whose package repertoire and dependencies are a little bit different from each other. In the analysis we assumed that all participants are using Ubuntu 11.04 with x86 architecture, and based on this version of the Ubuntu Linux we calculated the direct and total dependency degree (Kdep and Kdep) of every package, and plotted the vs. Kdep in Fig. 2. The packages not included in the official repositories of Ubuntu 11.04 or having zero installation frequency were ignored. Packages that are not required by any other packages (i.e., those with Kdep = 0) were also ignored.

Construction of Dependency Matrices for the Metabolic Network. The Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Database (KEGG) (2) contains the data of metabolic reactions present in different organisms, and the universal metabolic network used in this study is the union of all of the reactions in KEGG consisting of 5,759 reactions and 4,785 metabolites. The group of five common metabolites present in the majority of organisms was selected as the core: H$_2$O, ATP, NAD+, oxygen, and CoA. The final version of the dependency network used in our study contains 1,832 reactions (or associated enzymes) connected to each other by 3,118 direct and 49,168 direct + indirect dependencies.

The goal of the metabolic network is to either convert nutrients taken up from the environment into core metabolites (catabolism), or to convert core metabolites into the constituents of the biomass (anabolism). The direction of the dependency network connecting metabolic reactions would be opposite in these two cases. For simplicity we will concentrate on the case of anabolic pathways below. For catabolic pathways we simply inverted the direction of reactions and then applied the procedure used for anabolic pathways.

To determine the set of other enzymes an enzyme i in an anabolic pathway depends on for its operation, we performed the following computational analysis. We selected all metabolic substrates of the enzyme i one by one, and for each of them we constructed the minimal pathway necessary to synthesize this metabolite from our predetermined set of 40 core metabolites. The union of all enzymes in these pathways constructed for each of the substrates of the enzyme i is a good approximation to the minimal set of enzymes necessary to enable the reaction catalyzed by the enzyme i; as such, we can plausibly assume that the enzymes in this union form the total downstream dependency set for the enzyme i.

Furthermore, by analogy to software dependency networks, the direct dependency neighbors of the enzyme i are made by the set of enzymes added at the last layer of our breadth-first search algorithm. Based on this definition, the direct dependency degree of an anabolic enzyme is closely related to the number of metabolic reactions using at least one of its products, which is one of the standard topological definitions of degree in metabolic networks (3). Therefore, the power-law distribution with the exponent = 2 we measured for direct dependency degrees is closely related to previously reported scale-free topology on metabolic networks (3).

The rules by which this minimal pathway was constructed were previously described in ref. 4. For the sake of completeness, we included them in the text below.

By repeating the above procedure for all anabolic (catabolic) enzymes located downstream (upstream) from our core metabolites and thus reachable from the core by the scope expansion algorithm (5), we constructed our best approximation to the total dependency network of metabolic enzymes in the KEGG database.

Rules of Addition of Anabolic Pathways in Dependency Network Calculation.

i) At the beginning of the simulation, the model organism starts with a “seed” metabolic network consisting of 5 metabolites including H$_2$O, ATP, NAD+, oxygen, and CoA. It is assumed that our organism is able to generate all of these metabolites by some unspecified catabolic pathways.

ii) At each step, a new metabolite that cannot yet be synthesized by the organism is randomly selected from the scope (5) of our seed metabolites. This scope consists of all metabolites that in principle could be synthesized from the seed metabolites using all reactions listed in the KEGG database (5).

iii) To search for the minimal pathway that converts core metabolites to this target we first perform the scope expansion (5) of the core until it first reaches the target. In the course of this expansion, reactions and metabolites are added step by step (or layer by layer). Each layer consists of all KEGG reactions that have all their substrates among the metabolites in the current metabolic core of the organism (light blue area in figure 4 of ref. 5) and those generated by reactions in all of the previous layers (see figure 4 of ref. 5 for an illustration).

Mathematical Derivation of the Total Dependency Degree Distribution in the Random Model with D = 2. To mathematically derive the distribution of dependency degree Kdep in the simple model proposed in this study, we study its dependence on the time, t, a package was added to the growing dependency network. Here, time t is defined as the size of the network when a package was added and may have a nonlinear but monotonic relation to the actual time of addition (e.g., in exponentially expanding systems). Kdep(t) can be calculated self-consistently from the following equation:

$$K_{dep}(t) = 1 + \sum_{i}^{N} K_{dep}(t_{i}) \frac{D}{t_{i}}.$$  \[S1\]

Indeed, the total dependency degree of a package added at time t is given by the sum of total dependency degrees of packages added at later times, t', that directly depend on it. K_{dep} counts both direct and indirect dependencies, and thus indirect
dependencies of upstream packages are transferred to their downstream neighbors. In a random model, the likelihood of a package added at time \( t \) to send a direct dependency link to a package added at time \( t' \) is simply \( DI(t) \). It is easy to check that

\[ K_{dep}(t) = (t/N)^{-D} \tag{S2} \]

is a solution of this equation. Indeed,

\[ 1 + \int_{0}^{N} D(t/N)^{-D} dt' = 1 + (t/N)^{-D} - (N/N)^{-D} = (t/N)^{-D} = K_{dep}(t). \]

Eq. S1 simply adds up the dependency degrees of multiple upstream neighbors of a node and thus ignores the inevitable overlap between these sets of nodes. This is a good approximation as long as the resulting \( K_{dep}(t) \approx N \), and thus the overlap is small. It is clear, however, that if \( D > 1 \), Eq. S2 cannot hold forever because it predicts \( K_{dep}(t) = (t/N)^{-D} = N^D \gg N \). The total dependency degree cannot be larger than \( N \), and this value is approximately reached at \( t = N \) determined by

\[ (N/N)^{-D} = N \quad \text{or} \quad N = N^{(D-1)/D}. \tag{S3} \]

\( N_c \) is the number of nearly universal “core” components in the system with total dependency degree \( K_{dep} \approx N \).

Eq. S2 fully determines the power-law tail of the distribution of dependency degrees. Indeed, \( P(K_{dep} \geq K) = P((t/N)^{-D} \geq K) = P(t \leq N K^{-1/D}) = N K^{-1/D} \gg N \geq K^{-1/D}. \) Hence, \( P(K_{dep} = K) = -dP(K_{dep} \geq K)/dK \) is given by

\[ P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-(1+1/D)}. \tag{S4} \]

For \( D = 2 \), which is close to its empirical value in real-life biological and technological systems used in this study, one recovers familiar scaling laws:

\[ P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-1.5} \tag{S5} \]

and

\[ N_c = \sqrt{N}. \tag{S6} \]

**Mathematical Derivation of the Total Dependency Degree Distribution in a Tree Generated by a Galton–Watson Branching Process.** A Galton–Watson branching process is a Markov process in which every node in generation \( I \) produces some random number of “child nodes” in generation \( I + 1 \), according to a fixed probability distribution that does not vary from node to node. We denote as \( p_s \) the probability for the process to terminate at each node, and \( p_d \) is the probability for a node to have a branch with \( d \) child nodes. The first node of the tree generated by a Galton–Watson branching process is denoted as the root. In biological and technological systems considered in this study, the root node represents the set of core metabolites, or the basic Linux packages that serve many high-level user applications. The scaling properties of the Galton–Watson process are fully determined by a single parameter, \( \bar{d} = \sum_{d=0}^{d_{max}} d \times p_d \), which is the average number of child nodes of any given node has. For \( \bar{d} < 1 \), referred to as an undercritical branching process, the cascade will terminate very quickly and is irrelevant to this study. Conversely, for \( \bar{d} > 1 \), referred to as a supercritical branching process, the cascades will likely never terminate. Moreover, for a given number of nodes \( N \) in a tree generated by an overcritical branching process, the total number of layers \( L \) is logarithmically small: \( L \sim \log N / \log \bar{d} \). Real-life complex multicomponent systems such as metabolic networks and large software projects are characterized by a large number of hierarchical levels (4) incompatible by that in an overcritical branching process. Thus, overcritical branching processes will be also ignored in this study. In what follows, we limit our calculations to the third case in which \( \bar{d} = 1 \) is denoted as a critical branching process; this was previously demonstrated to be a good approximation to universal metabolic network (4), and the present study presents convincing evidence that it describes large software projects as well.

The direct dependency degree \( K_{dep} \) of a node in the Galton–Watson process is given by its number of child nodes plus 1 (to account for the dependency of a node on itself). The distribution of \( K_{dep} \) is then determined by \( p_d \) as \( P(K_{dep} = 1) = p_0 \), \( P(K_{dep} = 2) = p_1 \), \ldots, \( P(K_{dep} = d) = p_{d-1} \); it can have any functional form as long as its average is equal to \( 1 + \bar{d} \), that is for a critical branching process is equal to 2. It is important to emphasize that the Galton–Watson branching process does not provide an explanation for the power-law form of the distribution of direct dependency degrees (Fig. 3). However, the total dependency degree \( K_{dep}(i) \) corresponds to the size of the entire subtree initiated at the node \( i \). The Galton–Watson branching process is a Markov process and thus each node can be thought as starting its own instance of a branching process that is independent of the branching ratios of its predecessors. Hence, one would naively expect that the total dependency degree of \( N \) nodes in a tree generated by the critical branching process will have the same power-law distribution \( P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-1.5} \) as \( N \) independently started branching processes. However, a quick calculation convinces one otherwise. Indeed, the largest dependency degree \( D_{max} \) in this case will be determined by the equation

\[ 1/N = P(K_{dep} > D_{max}) = \sum_{d = 0}^{d_{max}} K_{dep}^{-1.5} \sim D_{max}^{-1.5}, \]

or \( D_{max} = N^2 \). Thus, the dependency degree cannot be larger than \( N \)—the total number of nodes in the tree. The size of the universal network with \( N \) nodes imposes a strict cutoff of \( N \) on sizes of its subtrees. Thus, the following process reproduces the distribution of sizes of subtrees of a critical branching tree with \( N \) nodes. In this process one simulates the critical branching process \( N \) times and stops it when and if its size \( s \) reaches \( N \) nodes if it does not terminate on its own before that. Therefore, among \( N \) nodes of the critical branching tree, one expects to find \( N \times P(s \geq N) = N \times N_0^{-0.5} = \sqrt{N} \) nodes with the largest total dependency degree \( K_{dep} = N \). The rest of the nodes follow the power-law distribution \( P(K_{dep}) \sim K_{dep}^{-1.5} \), and this is indeed what we see in our numerical simulations on the universal network of 5,000 nodes generated by the critical branching process with \( p_0 = p_1 = 1/2 \) (data not shown).


Fig. S1. Pathway diagram created with Cytoscape (1) showing 153 Linux packages directly or indirectly required for installation of the package Firefox (the top node).

Fig. S2. Pathway diagram created with Cytoscape (1) showing 65 reactions (or equivalently, enzymes catalyzing these reactions) that the production of the metabolite murideoxycholic acid (KEGG database compound C15515; the top node) directly or indirectly depends on. Reaction numbers are given in KEGG notation.

The frequency of occurrence $f$ (y axis) vs. the total (direct + indirect) dependency degree of metabolites $K_{dep}$. The two quantities are positively correlated (Spearman's $r_s = 0.45$). The black curve and symbols shows the average $f$ in the logarithmic bins of $K_{dep}$.